A priori estimate for the critical parameters of SU(3) Toda system with arbitrary singularities and related existence result
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## SU(3) Toda system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{i j} \rho_{j}\left(\frac{h_{j} e^{u_{j}}}{\int_{M} h_{j} e^{u_{j}} d V_{g}}-1\right)=4 \pi \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha_{p}^{i}\left(\delta_{p}-1\right), \quad i=1,2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{SU}(3)\left(A_{2}\right)=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{2 \times 2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\Delta g, M$ : Laplace-Beltrami operator, $|M|=1$,
$h_{1}, h_{2}$ : positive and smooth functions on $M$,
$\delta_{p}, \mathcal{S}$ : Dirac measure at $p$, and $\mathcal{S}$ finite set of $M$,
$\square$
$u_{1}, u_{2}: \AA^{1}(M):=\left\{f \in H^{1}(M) \mid \int_{M} f d V_{g}=0\right\}$;

## SU(3) Toda system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{i j} \rho_{j}\left(\frac{h_{j} e^{u_{j}}}{\int_{M} h_{j} e^{u_{j}} d V_{g}}-1\right)=4 \pi \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha_{p}^{i}\left(\delta_{p}-1\right), \quad i=1,2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{SU}(3)\left(A_{2}\right)=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{2 \times 2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & -1 \\
-1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

and
$\Delta g, M$ : Laplace-Beltrami operator, $|M|=1$, $h_{1}, h_{2}$ : positive and smooth functions on $M$, $\delta_{p}, \mathcal{S}$ : Dirac measure at $p$, and $\mathcal{S}$ finite set of $M$, $\alpha_{p}^{i}: \alpha_{p}^{i}>-1, \forall i=1,2$, $u_{1}, u_{2}: \dot{H}^{1}(M):=\left\{f \in H^{1}(M) \mid \int_{M} f d V_{g}=0\right\}$.

## Background

(a) Nirenberg problem: given two metrics $g_{u}:=e^{2 u} g_{0}$, then we have $\Delta_{0} u+K_{g_{u}} e^{2 u}=K_{g_{0}}$.
(b) Related to the Plücker formula, which is associated to a holomorphic curve $f$ from $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ into $\mathbb{C P}^{n}$.
(c) Onsager theory of $2 D$ Turbulence, given the density $\rho$ with restricted total vorticity $\left(m(\rho)=\int_{\Omega} \rho\right)$ and energy $\left(E(\rho)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} G(x, y) \rho(x) \rho(y)\right)$, solving the extremal value of the entropy $\left(S(\rho)=-\int_{\Omega} \rho \log \rho\right)$ is related to the Mean field equation with Dirichlet boundary condition.
(d) Non-abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs system.

## Normalized equation

Let $G(x, p)$ be the Green function of $\Delta_{g}$ on $M$ :

$$
-\Delta_{g} G(x, p)=\left(\delta_{p}-1\right), \quad \int_{M} G(x, p) d V_{g}=0
$$

We make a decomposition of $u_{i}$ in the following way

$$
u_{i}=\tilde{u}_{i}-\sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}} 4 \pi \alpha_{p}^{i} G(x, p), \quad i=1,2
$$

Then (1) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \tilde{u}_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{i j} \rho_{j}\left(\frac{\tilde{h}_{j} e^{\tilde{u}_{j}}}{\int_{M} \tilde{h}_{j} e^{\tilde{u}_{j}} d V_{g}}-1\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{h}_{i}=h_{i} \exp \left(-\sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}} 4 \pi \alpha_{p}^{i} G(x, p)\right), i=1,2$.

## Mean field equation

For the following single equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \tilde{u}+\rho\left(\frac{\tilde{h} e^{\tilde{u}}}{\int_{M} \tilde{h} e^{\tilde{u}} d V_{g}}-1\right)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{h}=h \exp \left(-\sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}} 4 \pi \alpha_{p} G(x, p)\right)$ for some positive smooth function $h$ on $M$.

To study the compact issue, we analyze the local form of this equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+e^{u}=4 \pi \alpha \delta_{q} \text { in } \Omega, \quad \int_{\Omega} e^{u} d x<+\infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Blow up analysis

When $\alpha=0$, Brezis and Merle studied the compactness of equation (4). Suppose $u_{k}$ is a sequence of solutions to (4). Then after passing to a subsequence, we have

- $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ is bounded in $L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}(\Omega)$,
- $u_{k} \rightarrow-\infty$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\Omega$,
- there exists a finite set $\mathcal{B} \subset \Omega$, for any $p \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists $\left\{p^{k}\right\} \subset \Omega$ such that $p^{k} \rightarrow p, u_{k}\left(p^{k}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ and $u_{k}(x) \rightarrow-\infty$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\Omega \backslash \mathcal{B}$. Moreover $e^{u_{k}} \rightharpoonup \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}} n_{p} \delta_{p}$ with $n_{p} \geq 4 \pi, \forall p \in \mathcal{B}$.
To compute the quantity $n_{p}$, we set

$$
\sigma_{p}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{B_{r}(p)} e^{u_{k}} d x
$$

## Blow up analysis

It is obvious that $n_{p}=2 \pi \sigma_{p}$.
Li-Shafrir proved $\sigma_{p}=4$ for $p \in \Omega \backslash\{q\}$ and
Bartolucci-Tarantello proved $\sigma_{p}=4(1+\alpha)$ when $p=q$.
Key point: $u_{k}$ blows up then $e^{u_{k}} \rightarrow 0$ on any compact subset of $\Omega \backslash \mathcal{B}$.

Returning back to equation (3), if blow up happens, then

where $\mathcal{B}$ is the blow up set of $\tilde{u}, \alpha_{p}=1$ if $p \notin \mathcal{S}$ and $\alpha_{p}=\left(1+\alpha_{q}\right)$ if $p=q \in \mathcal{S}$.

## Blow up analysis

It is obvious that $n_{p}=2 \pi \sigma_{p}$.
Li-Shafrir proved $\sigma_{p}=4$ for $p \in \Omega \backslash\{q\}$ and
Bartolucci-Tarantello proved $\sigma_{p}=4(1+\alpha)$ when $p=q$.
Key point: $u_{k}$ blows up then $e^{u_{k}} \rightarrow 0$ on any compact subset of $\Omega \backslash \mathcal{B}$.
Returning back to equation (3), if blow up happens, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{\tilde{h} e^{\tilde{u}}}{\int_{M} \tilde{h} e^{\tilde{u} d} V_{g}} \rightarrow \sum_{p \in \mathcal{B}} 8 \pi \alpha_{p} \delta_{p} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is the blow up set of $\tilde{u}, \alpha_{p}=1$ if $p \notin \mathcal{S}$ and $\alpha_{p}=\left(1+\alpha_{q}\right)$ if $p=q \in \mathcal{S}$.

## Topological degree

Let

$$
\Sigma=\left\{8 m \pi+\sum_{q \in A} 8 \pi\left(1+\alpha_{q}\right) \mid A \subset \mathcal{S}, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right\} \backslash\{0\}
$$

Based on (5) we have that if $\rho \notin \Sigma$, then the a priori bound holds. Thus one can define the Topological degree of (3).

## Consider the following function
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## Topological degree

Let

$$
\Sigma=\left\{8 m \pi+\sum_{q \in A} 8 \pi\left(1+\alpha_{q}\right) \mid A \subset \mathcal{S}, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right\} \backslash\{0\}
$$

Based on (5) we have that if $\rho \notin \Sigma$, then the a priori bound holds. Thus one can define the Topological degree of (3).
Consider the following function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}(x)=\left(1+x+x^{2}+\cdots\right)^{-\chi(M)+|\mathcal{S}|} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{S}}\left(1-x^{1+\alpha_{p}}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(M)=2-2 g$ is Euler characteristic number of $M$. Writing

$$
\Sigma=\left\{8 \pi n_{k} \mid n_{1}<n_{2}<\cdots\right\}
$$

and represent $\mathcal{G}(x)$ in the powers of $x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G}(x)=1+b_{1} x^{n_{1}}+b_{2} x^{n_{2}}+\cdots+b_{k} x^{n_{k}}+\cdots \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Topological degree

Chen-Lin proved that the degree $d_{\rho}$ can be expressed in terms of $b_{i}$.

## Theorem (Chen-Lin)

Let $d_{\rho}$ be the Leray-Schauder degree for (3). Suppose that $8 \pi n_{k}<\rho<8 \pi n_{k+1}$. Then

$$
d_{\rho}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} b_{j}
$$

where $b_{0}=1$.
Remark: If $\mathcal{S}=\emptyset$ and $\rho \in(8 m \pi, 8(m+1) \pi)$, we have

$$
d_{\rho}=b_{m}=\binom{m-\chi(M)}{m}
$$

## Local form of Toda system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u_{i}^{k}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} k_{i j} e^{u_{j}^{k}}=4 \pi \alpha_{i} \delta_{0} \text { in } B(0,1), \quad i=1,2 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For solutions $u^{k}=\left(u_{1}^{k}, u_{2}^{k}\right)$ of (8) we assume:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i): 0 \text { is the only blow up point of } u^{k} \text { in } B(0,1), \\
\text { (ii) : }\left|u_{i}^{k}(x)-u_{i}^{k}(y)\right| \leq C, \forall x, y \text { on } \partial B(0,1), i=1,2,  \tag{9}\\
\text { (iii) : } \int_{B_{1}(0)} e^{u_{i}^{k}} d x \leq C, i=1,2
\end{array}\right.
$$

We set $\sigma_{i}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{r}(0)} e^{u_{i}^{k}} d x$ and call it the local mass of $u_{i}^{k}, i=1,2$.

## Without singular source

By Pohozaev identity, ( $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ ) must belong to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\left\{\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \mid \sigma_{1}^{2}-\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}=2 \mu_{1} \sigma_{1}+2 \mu_{2} \sigma_{2}\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{i}=1+\alpha_{i}, i=1,2$.
When $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=0$. Jost-Lin-Wang proved there are only five
types for $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$, i.e., $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ could be one of

$$
\{(2,0),(0,2),(2,4),(4,2),(4,4)\}
$$

The proof of Jost-Lin-Wang uses holonomy theory. An alternative proof is given Lin-Wei-Zhang.
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$$
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## With singular source

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)=\{ & (0,0),\left(2 \mu_{1}, 0\right),\left(0,2 \mu_{2}\right),\left(2 \mu_{1}, 2\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \left.\left(2\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right), 2 \mu_{2}\right),\left(2\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right), 2\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)\right)\right\} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

## Theorem (Lin-Wei-Y.-Zhang)

Let $\sigma_{i}, i=1,2$ be the local masses of a sequence of blow up solutions of (8) and (9) hold. Then

$$
\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=\left(\sigma_{1}^{*}+2 N_{1}, \sigma_{2}^{*}+2 N_{2}\right)
$$

where $\left(\sigma_{1}^{*}, \sigma_{2}^{*}\right) \in \Gamma\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)$ and $N_{1}, N_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

## Some Remarks

Musso-Pistoia-Wei For symmetric domains (like balls) and for $S U(3)$ Toda system without singular source, there are five types of bubbling solutions with masses, which are listed in (6).

Ao-Wang Another type bubbling solution with local mass: $(2,4)$.
Battaglia-Pistoia For symmetric domains (like balls) and for SU(3) Toda system with singular source, they established five types of bubbling solutions with masses, which are listed in (8).

For $S U(3)$ Toda system, the strong concentration property is lost. Indeed, D'Aprile-Pistoia-Ruiz constructed an example such that both $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ blow up and $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=(4,2)$, but $e^{u_{2}}$ has a residual mass outside the blow up set $B$.

## Outline of the proof

Selection process: we pick out a set $\Sigma_{k}$ of all the "bad points" $\left\{0, x_{1}^{k}, \cdots, x_{m}^{k}\right\}$ and it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{k}+2 \log \operatorname{dist}\left(x, \Sigma_{k}\right) \leq C, \quad \forall x \in B(0,1), \quad i=1,2 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Definition

Suppose that $\left(u_{1}^{k}, u_{2}^{k}\right)$ satisfies the Harnack inequality (13) in $B\left(x_{k}, 2 r_{k}\right) \backslash B\left(x_{k}, \frac{1}{2} r_{k}\right)$. Then we say that $u_{i}^{k}$ has fast decay on $\partial B\left(x_{k}, r_{k}\right)$ if after passing a subsequence,

$$
u_{i}^{k}(x)+2 \log \left|x-x_{k}\right| \leq-L_{k}, \quad \forall x \in \partial B\left(x_{k}, r_{k}\right)
$$

for some $L_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$ and $u_{i}^{k}$ is said to have slow decay on $\partial B\left(x_{k}, r_{k}\right)$ if there exists a constant $C$ independent of $k$ and

$$
u_{i}^{k}(x)+2 \log \left|x-x_{k}\right| \geq-C, \quad \forall x \in \partial B\left(x_{k}, r_{k}\right)
$$

Let $\left(u_{1}^{k}, u_{2}^{k}\right)$ be a sequence of solutions to (8). Then there exists a finite set $\Sigma_{k}$ such that the following hold

1) There exists $C>0$ independent of $k$ such that (13) holds.
2) $u_{i_{0}}^{k}\left(x_{j}^{k}\right)=\max _{i} \max _{B\left(x_{j}^{k}, l_{j}^{k}\right)} u_{i}^{k}(x) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Setting

$$
v_{i}^{k}(y)=u_{i}^{k}\left(x_{j}^{k}+e^{-\frac{1}{2} u_{i 0}^{k}\left(x_{j}^{k}\right)} y\right)-u_{i 0}^{k}\left(x_{j}^{k}\right)
$$

then after passing to a subsequence $\left(v_{1}^{k}, v_{2}^{k}\right)$ converges to $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ which satisfies either

$$
\Delta v_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{i j} v^{v_{j}}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad i=1,2
$$

or $v_{i_{0}}^{k}$ converges to $v_{i_{0}}$ with $v_{i_{0}}$ satisfying

$$
\Delta v_{i_{0}}+2 e^{v_{i 0}}=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

and $v_{j}^{k} \rightarrow-\infty$ for $j \neq i_{0}$.
3) There is a sequence of $l_{j}^{k}$ such that both $u_{1}^{k}$ and $u_{2}^{k}$ have fast decay on $\partial B\left(x_{j}^{k}, l_{j}^{k}\right)$ and $l_{j}^{k} \ll\left|x_{j}^{k}\right|$ for any $x_{j}^{k} \in \Sigma \backslash\{0\}$. In addition, $B\left(x_{j}^{k}, l_{j}^{k}\right) \cap B\left(x_{i}^{k}, l_{i}^{k}\right)=\emptyset, i \neq j$.
4) Let

$$
\sigma_{i, j}=\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{B\left(x_{j}^{k}, l_{j}^{k}\right)} h_{i}^{k} e^{u_{i}^{k}} d x, \quad i=1,2, \quad j=1, \cdots, m .
$$

Then $\left(\sigma_{1, j}, \sigma_{2, j}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\sigma_{1, j}^{2}-\sigma_{1, j} \sigma_{2, j}+\sigma_{2, j}^{2}=2 \sigma_{1, j}+2 \sigma_{2, j}, \quad j=1, \cdots, m
$$

and

$$
\sigma_{i, j} \in 2 \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, \quad i=1,2, \quad j=1, \cdots, m
$$

We could decompose $\Sigma_{k}=\{0\} \cup S_{1} \cup \cdots \cup S_{l}$, where each $S_{i}$ is the maximal collection of $x_{i}^{k}$ in the following sense:

- $0 \notin S_{i}$ and there is $x_{i}^{k} \in S_{i}$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(x, y) \leq d\left(S_{i}\right) \doteqdot \max _{x^{k} \in S_{i}} \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{i}^{k}, x^{k}\right), \forall x, y \in S_{i}$.
Furthermore, we have $\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{i}^{k}, x^{k}\right) \ll\left|x_{i}^{k}\right|, \forall x^{k} \in S_{i}$,
- $\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{i}^{k}, x_{j}^{k}\right) \geq C \max \left\{\left|x_{i}^{k}\right|,\left|x_{j}^{k}\right|\right\}$ for some constant $C>0$,
- The local mass $\hat{\sigma}_{i}\left(B\left(x_{j}^{k}, \frac{1}{2} \tau_{S_{j}}^{k}\right)\right) \in 2 \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, i=1,2$, where $\tau_{S_{j}}^{k}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dist}\left(S_{j}, \Sigma_{k} \backslash S_{j}\right)$.


## Important intermediate step

During the combination, we shall meet the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u+2 e^{u}=4 \pi \alpha_{0} \delta_{p_{0}}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} 4 \pi \alpha_{j} \delta_{p_{j}} \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{u} d x<+\infty \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{0}=0$ and $p_{0}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{N}$ are distinct points in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

## Theorem (Lin-Wei-Y.-Zhang)

Suppose $u$ is a solution to (14) and $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{N}$ are positive integers, $\alpha_{0}>-1$. Then $\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{u} d x$ is equal to $2\left(\alpha_{0}+1\right)+2 k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $2 k_{1}$ for some $k_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$.

## Eremenko-Gabrielov-Tarasov's result

Concerning equation (14), Eremenko-Gabrielov-Tarasov study this equation and obtain the following result

## Theorem (Eremenko-Gabrielov-Tarasov)

Let $u$ be a solution of (14), then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{u} d x=\sum_{i=0}^{N+1} \alpha_{i}+2 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha_{N+1}>-1$ such that either $\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{N+1}$ or $\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{N+1}$ is an integer. Moreover, we have
(i). If $\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{N+1}$ is an integer, then $\left|\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{N+1}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}$.
(ii). If $\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{N+1}$ is an integer, then $\left|\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{N+1}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}$.

## Improved result on the local mass

Based on the result of Eremenko-Gabrielov-Tarasov, we can improve the previous result on computing the local mass of $S U(3)$ Toda system

## Theorem (Lin-Y.)

Let $\sigma_{i}, i=1,2$ be the local masses of a sequence of blow up solutions of (8) and (9) hold. Then
$\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=\left(\sigma_{1}^{*}+2 N_{1}, \sigma_{2}^{*}+2 N_{2}\right), \quad\left(\sigma_{1}^{*}, \sigma_{2}^{*}\right) \in \Gamma\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right), \quad N_{1}, N_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Furthermore there exists at least one component has the concentration property and the corresponding local mass satisfies

$$
\sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i}^{*}+2 N_{i}, \quad N_{i} \in \mathbb{N}
$$

## The idea of the proof

We have already seen

$$
\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)=\left(\sigma_{1}^{*}+2 N_{1}, \sigma_{2}^{*}+2 N_{2}\right)
$$

with $\left(\sigma_{1}^{*}, \sigma_{2}^{*}\right) \in \Gamma\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right), N_{1}, N_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. For the local mass contributed in the bubbling disk centered at 0 , we have

$$
\left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}), \hat{\sigma}_{2}(\boldsymbol{\tau})\right) \in \Gamma\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}\right)
$$

We separate our discussion into three parts according to the value of $\left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}), \hat{\sigma}_{2}(\boldsymbol{\tau})\right)$ :

Case 1. At least one of $\hat{\sigma}_{i}(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ is $2 \mu_{1}+2 \mu_{2}$,
Case 2. $\left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}), \hat{\sigma}_{2}(\boldsymbol{\tau})\right)=\left(2 \mu_{1}, 0\right)$ or $\left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}(\boldsymbol{\tau}), \hat{\sigma}_{2}(\boldsymbol{\tau})\right)=\left(0,2 \mu_{2}\right)$,
Case 3. $\left(\hat{\sigma}_{1}(\tau), \hat{\sigma}_{2}(\tau)\right)=(0,0)$.

## The idea of the proof

Case 1. We have $\max _{i}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \geq 2 \mu_{1}+2 \mu_{2}$.
Case 2. The key observation: after each step of transformations, we could prove that at least one component of $\hat{\sigma}_{i}(\mathbf{r})$ satisfies that $2 \mu_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{i j} \hat{\sigma}_{j}(\mathbf{r})<0$, and

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{i}(\mathbf{r}) \in\left\{2 \bar{N}_{i, 1}, 2 \mu_{1}+2 \bar{N}_{i, 2}, 2 \mu_{1}+2 \mu_{2}+2 \bar{N}_{i, 3}\right\}
$$

with $\bar{N}_{i, I} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, i=1,2, I=1,2,3$.
Case 3. Similar to the Case 2.

## A priori estimate of (2)

When $\alpha_{p}^{i} \in \mathbb{N}, i=1,2$ for $p \in \mathcal{S}$, we have the following a priori estimate

## Theorem

Suppose $\alpha_{p}^{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for any $p \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\rho_{i} \notin 4 \pi \mathbb{N}, i=1,2$, then there exists a constant $C\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ such that for any solution in $\dot{H}^{1}(M) \times \dot{H}^{1}(M)$ of $(2)$,

$$
\left|\tilde{u}_{i}\right| \leq C, \quad i=1,2
$$

Remark: We can also derive the same result of $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{2}$ Toda system.

## SU(3) Toda system (without singularity)

From the compactness result, we can define the Topological degree of (2) if $\rho_{i} \notin 4 \pi \mathbb{N}, i=1,2$.

The degree of the following operator $I+T_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}}$ is well defined in $\dot{H}^{1}(M) \times \dot{H}^{1}(M)$, provided $\rho_{i} \notin 4 \pi \mathbb{N}, i=1,2$. Here
$T_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}}\binom{\tilde{u}_{1}}{\tilde{u}_{2}}=\Delta^{-1}\binom{2\left(\frac{\tilde{h}_{1} e^{\tilde{x}_{1}}}{\int_{M} \tilde{h}_{1} e^{\tilde{u}_{1}} d v_{g}}-1\right)-\left(\frac{\tilde{h}_{2} e^{\tilde{u}_{2}}}{\int_{M} \tilde{h}_{2} e^{\tilde{u}_{2}} d v_{g}}-1\right)}{2\left(\frac{\tilde{h}_{2} e^{\tilde{x}_{2}}}{\int_{M} \tilde{h}_{2} e^{\tilde{u}_{2}} d v_{g}}-1\right)-\left(\frac{\tilde{h}_{1} e^{\tilde{u}_{1}}}{\int_{M} e^{\tilde{h}_{1}} e^{\tilde{u}_{1}} d v_{g}}-1\right)}$.

## Generating function

When $\mathcal{S}=\emptyset, \Gamma_{i}=4 \pi \mathbb{N}, i=1,2$. Then the degree $d_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}}$ is a homotopic invariant for $\rho_{1} \in(4 i \pi, 4(i+1) \pi)$ and $\rho_{2} \in(4 j \pi, 4(j+1) \pi), i, j \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$.

If $\rho_{1} \in(0,4 \pi), \rho_{2} \in(4 m \pi, 4(m+1) \pi)$, we write $d_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}}$ as $d_{1, m}$ and have $d_{1, m}=\binom{m-\chi(M)}{m}$.

If $\rho_{1} \in(4 \pi, 8 \pi), \rho_{2} \in(4 m \pi, 4(m+1) \pi)$, we write $d_{\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}}$ as $d_{2, m}$ and define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_{2, i} x^{i} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Degree formula

## Theorem (Lee-Lin-Wei-Y.)

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_{2, i} x^{i}=\left(1+x+x^{2}+\cdots\right)^{1-\chi(M)}(1-\chi(M)(1+x)) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main idea of the proof. We need to compute the difference between $d_{2, i}$ and $d_{1, i}$.
$d_{2, i}-d_{1, i}=$ the degree contributed by the bubbling solution.

## Shadow system

Consider a sequence of bubbling solution $u_{1 k}, u_{2 k}$ of the $S U(3)$ Toda system with $\rho_{1}$ crosses $4 \pi, \rho_{2} \notin 4 \pi \mathbb{N}$. We have $u_{1 k}$ blow up and $u_{2 k}$ is uniformly bounded from above. We rewrite the system as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta v_{1 k}+\rho_{1}\left(\frac{h_{1} e^{2 v_{1 k}}-v_{2 k}}{\left.\int_{M} h_{1} e^{2 v_{1 k}-v_{2 k}}-1\right)=0}\right.  \tag{19}\\
\Delta v_{2 k}+\rho_{2}\left(\frac{h_{2} e^{v_{22}}-v_{1 k}}{\int_{M} h_{2} e^{2 v_{2 k}-v_{1 k}}}-1\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $v_{1 k}=\frac{1}{3}\left(2 u_{1 k}+u_{2 k}\right)$ and $v_{2 k}=\frac{1}{3}\left(u_{1 k}+2 u_{2 k}\right)$.
As $\rho_{1 k}$ crosses $4 \pi, v_{1 k}$ blow up at $p$ and $v_{2 k} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} w$, where $(p, w)$
satisfies the following shadow system


## Shadow system

Consider a sequence of bubbling solution $u_{1 k}, u_{2 k}$ of the $S U(3)$ Toda system with $\rho_{1}$ crosses $4 \pi, \rho_{2} \notin 4 \pi \mathbb{N}$. We have $u_{1 k}$ blow up and $u_{2 k}$ is uniformly bounded from above. We rewrite the system as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta v_{1 k}+\rho_{1}\left(\frac{h_{1} e^{2 v_{1 k}-v_{2 k}}}{\left.\int_{M} h_{1} e^{2 v_{1 k}-v_{2 k}}-1\right)=0}\right.  \tag{19}\\
\Delta v_{2 k}+\rho_{2}\left(\frac{h_{2} e^{v_{2}}-v_{1 k}}{\left.\int_{M} h_{2} e^{2 v_{2 k}-v_{1 k}}-1\right)=0}\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $v_{1 k}=\frac{1}{3}\left(2 u_{1 k}+u_{2 k}\right)$ and $v_{2 k}=\frac{1}{3}\left(u_{1 k}+2 u_{2 k}\right)$.
As $\rho_{1 k}$ crosses $4 \pi, v_{1 k}$ blow up at $p$ and $v_{2 k} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} w$, where $(p, w)$ satisfies the following shadow system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta w+2 \rho_{2}\left(\frac{h_{2} e^{w-4 \pi G(x, p)}}{\int_{M} h_{2} e^{w-4 \pi G(x, p)}}-1\right)=0  \tag{20}\\
\left.\nabla\left(\log \left(h_{1} e^{-\frac{1}{2} w}\right)(x)+4 \pi R(x, x)\right)\right|_{x=p}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

## The relation between shadow system and blowup solution

- Description of the bubbling solution: we can prove $v_{1 k}$ is a perturbation of the standard bubble around the singular point, and a perturbation of the Green function outside the singular point; while $v_{2 k}$ is a perturbation of $\frac{1}{2} w$, i.e,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1 k}=\underbrace{\text { standard bubble }}_{\text {inside }}+\underbrace{\text { Green function }}_{\text {outside }}+\text { e.s.t } \\
& v_{2 k}=\frac{1}{2} w+\text { e.s.t. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rightarrow$ Let $d_{T}(p, w)$ denote the degree contributed by the bubbling solution, $d_{s}(D, w)$ denote the degree of the system (20). Then $d_{T}(p, w)=-d_{S}(p, w)$.

## The relation between shadow system and blowup solution

- Description of the bubbling solution: we can prove $v_{1 k}$ is a perturbation of the standard bubble around the singular point, and a perturbation of the Green function outside the singular point; while $v_{2 k}$ is a perturbation of $\frac{1}{2} w$, i.e,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1 k}=\underbrace{\text { standard bubble }}_{\text {inside }}+\underbrace{\text { Green function }}_{\text {outside }}+\text { e.s.t } \\
& v_{2 k}=\frac{1}{2} w+\text { e.s.t. }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Let $d_{T}(p, w)$ denote the degree contributed by the bubbling solution, $d_{S}(p, w)$ denote the degree of the system (20). Then

$$
d_{T}(p, w)=-d_{S}(p, w)
$$

## Topological degree of shadow system

Inserting a parameter t into the second equation of (20), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta w+2 \rho_{2}\left(\frac{h_{2} e^{w-4 \pi G(x, p)}}{\left.\int_{M}^{h_{2} e^{w-4 \pi G(x, p)}}-\frac{1}{|M|}\right)=0,}\right. \\
\left.\nabla \log \left(h_{1} e^{-t \frac{w}{2}}(x)+4 \pi R(x, x)\right)\right|_{p}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Proposition

Let $\rho_{2} \in(4 m \pi, 4(m+1) \pi), m \in \mathbb{N}$, then we get

$$
d_{S}(p, w)=\chi(M)\left(b_{m}+b_{m-1}\right)
$$

## Topological degree of shadow system

Inserting a parameter t into the second equation of（20），we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta w+2 \rho_{2}\left(\frac{h_{2} e^{w-4 \pi G(x, p)}}{\left.\int_{M}^{h_{2} e^{w-4 \pi G(x, p)}}-\frac{1}{|M|}\right)=0,}\right. \\
\left.\nabla \log \left(h_{1} e^{-t \frac{w}{2}}(x)+4 \pi R(x, x)\right)\right|_{p}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Proposition

Let $\rho_{2} \in(4 m \pi, 4(m+1) \pi), m \in \mathbb{N}$ ，then we get

$$
d_{S}(p, w)=\chi(M)\left(b_{m}+b_{m-1}\right)
$$

where $b_{m}=\binom{m-\chi(M)}{m}$ ．

## Future Problem (with singularity)

Same things happen for the Toda system with singularity, and we will also get a shadow system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta w+2 \rho_{2}\left(\frac{\tilde{h}_{2} e^{w-4 \pi G(x, p)}}{\int_{M} \tilde{h}_{2} e^{w-4 \pi G(x, p)}}-1\right)=0  \tag{21}\\
\left.\nabla\left(\log \left(\tilde{h}_{1} e^{-\frac{1}{2} w}\right)(x)+4 \pi R(x, x)\right)\right|_{x=p}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\tilde{h}_{i}=h_{i} e^{-\sum_{p \in S} 4 \pi \alpha_{\rho}^{i} G(x, p)}, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Difficult point: How to compute the degree.

## Thank you!

