KERNEL METHODS AND PARAMETRIC PDES

Christian Rieger

partly based on joint work with

Michael Griebel (Bonn University) & Barbara Zwicknagl (Würzburg University)

Institute for Numerical Simulation University of Bonn

supported by DFG through SFB 1060

International Conference of Kernel-Based Approximation Methods in Machine Learning

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@





2 PROBLEM ADAPTED RKHS







CLASSICAL RECONSTRUCTION PROBLEM

- Given: data $y_n = f(x_n) + \varepsilon_n$ for n = 1, ..., N
- centers $X_N := \{x_1, \dots, x_N\} \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$
- Wanted: approximating function $s_f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$

Ansatz:

- $f \in \mathcal{H} \left(\Omega
 ight)$ function space
- typical solution $s_f = \arg\min_{s \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)} J_{X_N, Y}(s)$ with

$$J_{X_{N},Y}(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} (s(x_{n}) - y_{n})^{2} + \lambda ||s||_{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)}^{2} + \dots$$

Useful properties: stability and consistency

 $\|f - s_f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} (J_{X_{N,Y}}(s_f))^{1/2} \le \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} (J_{X_{N,Y}}(f))^{1/2} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \|\varepsilon\|_{\ell_2} + 2\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$

 $\|(f-s_f)|_X\|_{\ell_{\infty}(X)} \leq \|\varepsilon\|_{\ell_{\infty}} + (J_{X_{N},Y}(s_f))^{1/2} \leq 2\|\varepsilon\|_{\ell_2} + \sqrt{\lambda}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$

REPRODUCING KERNEL HILBERT SPACE (RKHS)

DEFINITION

Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(\Omega) \subset C(\Omega)$ is RKHS, if there is $K: \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, s.t.

- $K(\cdot, x) \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ for all $x \in \Omega$
- $f(x) = (f, K(\cdot, x))_{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$, $x \in \Omega$

Basic properties

• *K* is symmetric, i.e., for all $x, y \in \Omega$

$$K(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = (K(\cdot,\mathbf{y}), K(\cdot,\mathbf{x}))_{\mathcal{H}} = (K(\cdot,\mathbf{x}), K(\cdot,\mathbf{y}))_{\mathcal{H}} = K(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x})$$

• *K* is positive semi-definite, i.e., for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, all

$$X_N := \{x_1, \dots, x_N\} \subset \Omega$$
 and all $c \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{i}c_{j}K(x_{i}, x_{j}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} c_{i}c_{j}(K(\cdot, x_{i}), K(\cdot, x_{j}))_{\mathcal{H}}$$
$$= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i}K(\cdot, x_{i}) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \ge 0$$

OPTIMAL RECONSTRUCTION IN AN RKHS

REPRESENTER THEOREM

Let $\lambda > 0$, $X_N = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\} \subset \Omega$, and $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then a minimizer s^* for

$$J_{X_{N,Y}}(s) := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(s\left(x_{j}\right) - y_{j} \right)^{2} + \lambda \left\| s \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

lies in span{ $K(x_1, \cdot), \ldots, K(\cdot, x_N)$ }, i.e., $s^*(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j K(\cdot, x_j)$.

Sketch of the proof: Let $s \in \mathcal{H}$. Decompose $s = s^{\parallel} + s^{\perp}$ with $s^{\parallel} \in \text{span}\{K(x_1, \cdot), \dots, K(\cdot, x_N)\}$ and $s^{\parallel} \perp s^{\perp}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} J_{X_{N,Y}}(s) &:= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(s\left(x_{j}\right) - y_{j}\right)^{2} + \lambda \|s\|_{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\left(s^{\|}, K(x_{j}, \cdot) \right)_{\mathcal{H}} - y_{j} \right)^{2} + \lambda \left(\left\| s^{\|} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)}^{2} + \left\| s^{\perp} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)}^{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(Schölkopf and Smola 2002)

CHARACTERISTICS OF KERNEL-BASED APPROXIMATION

ADVANTAGES

- Optimality properties ("splines")
- Scattered data
- Generalized recovery (not only point evaluations) via Riesz representation

PROBLEMS

- Optimality holds only in associated Hilbert space
- Problem-induced kernel often not available in closed form
- Numerically feasible approximation necessary
- Often interested in multiscale decompositions

PARAMETRIC PDE

PARAMETRIC POISSON PROBLEM

 $-\operatorname{div}\left(a\left(y,x\right)\nabla u\left(y,x\right)\right) = g\left(x\right) \quad \text{for all } \left(y,x\right) \in \Omega \times D$ $u\left(y,x\right) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \left(y,x\right) \in \Omega \times \partial D$

Quantity of interest: $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, $f(y) = \langle Q, u(y, \cdot) \rangle_{V^{\star}(D), V(D)}$

- $Y_{N_S} = \{y_1, \dots, y_{N_S}\} \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N_P}$ with N_P large
- a such that pde is well posed
- data: $(y_k, z_k) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, with $f(y_k) \approx z_k$, $1 \le k \le N_S$
- exploit structure in reconstruction of f
- determine kernel from problem data, i.e. $a \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega, C^{1}(\overline{D})\right)$

POINT EVALUATION

• We need to evaluate $f(y_k)$ numerically

DISCRETIZATION IN SPACE

- trial space $V_h := \operatorname{span}\{\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_{N_h}\} \subset H^1_0(D)$
- find $u^{h}(y_{k}, \cdot)$ such that

$$\int_{D} a(y_{k}, x) \nabla u^{h}(y_{k}, x) \nabla v_{h}(x) dx = \int_{D} f(x) v_{h}(x) dx$$

for all $v_h \in V_h$.

•
$$\epsilon_h := \max_{k=1}^{N_S} \left| \langle Q, u^h(y_k, \cdot) - u(y_k, \cdot) \rangle_{H^{-1}(D), H^1_0(D)} \right|$$

• ϵ_h is a parameter and we can achieve $\epsilon_h
ightarrow 0$

see Mike's talk yesterday

- high (N_P) dimensional reconstruction problem for $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ from (y_k, z_k), $1 \le k \le N_S$
- deterministically polluted data (ϵ_h)
- we study reconstruction of f, not quadrature \rightarrow ill-conditioned problem
- need to discuss N_S as function of N_P
- 1st ingredient: smoothness of $f \rightarrow \text{RKHS}$
- 2rd ingredient: error estimates which allow (a priori) coupling of discretization parameters

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

PARAMETRIC REGULARITY

Separation of variables:

$$u(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^{N_{\mathcal{P}}} \\ |\nu| = k}} u_{\nu}(\mathbf{x}) \Phi_{\nu}(\mathbf{y})$$

Fast decaying coefficients w.r.t. function space $V(D) := H_0^1(D)$ Cohen, DeVore, Schwab (2010), Babuška, Tempone, Zouraris (2004), Babuška, Nobile, Tempone (2007), Dung, Griebel, Huy, CR (2017)... $\|u_{\nu}\|_{V(D)} \leq C(g, \delta)\rho^{-\nu}$ for a δ admissible vector $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^{N_p}$

TAYLOR

- $u_{\nu}(x) := \frac{1}{\nu!} \partial_{y}^{\nu} u(0, x)$
- $\Phi_{\nu}\left(y\right):=y^{\nu}$ Monomials

Power series kernels Zwicknagl (2009), Zwicknagl &Schaback (2013)

SPECTRAL

- $u_{\nu}(x) := \int_{D} u(y, x) \Phi_{\nu}(y) dy$
- $\Phi_{\nu}\left(\cdot\right)$ orthogonal system w.r.t. to the joint probability density

Mercer kernels

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

RKHS CONTAINING *f*

•
$$f(y) = \langle Q, u(y, \cdot) \rangle_{V^{\star}(D), V(D)}$$

• $u(y, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^{N_{p}} \\ |\nu| = k}} u_{\nu}(x) \Phi_{\nu}(y)$

leads to

$$f(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^{N_{p}} \\ |\nu| = k}} f_{\nu} \Phi_{\nu}(y) \quad \text{with} \quad f_{\nu} = \langle Q, u_{\nu} \rangle_{V^{\star}(D), V(D)}$$

and bounds

$$|f_{\nu}| \leq \|Q\| \|u_{\nu}\| \leq C(g,\delta) \|Q\| \rho^{-\nu}$$

f is contained in an RKHS of a power series kernel

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{y}, \tilde{\mathbf{y}}) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^{N_P}} \lambda_{\nu} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^{\nu} \mathbf{y}^{\nu} \quad \text{sometimes closed formula}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

Griebel&CR (2017) Hardy-spaces as RKHS

REPRODUCTION FORMULA = TAYLOR EXPANSION

The weighted $\ell_2\text{-space}$

$$\mathcal{H} := \left\{ f(\cdot) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{N_p}} a_{\alpha}(\cdot)^{\alpha} \text{ with } \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{N_p}} \frac{\alpha!^2}{w_{\alpha}} a_{\alpha}^2 < \infty \right\}$$

with inner product

$$(f,g)_{\mathcal{H}} := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{N_p}} \frac{1}{w_{\beta}} (D^{\beta} f(0)) (D^{\beta} g(0))$$

is the native space for $K(x, y) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{N_P}} w_{\alpha} \frac{x^{\alpha} y^{\alpha}}{\alpha!^2}$.

$$(f, K(\cdot, y))_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{N_{p}}} \frac{(D^{\alpha} f(0)) \left(D_{1}^{\alpha} K(0, y)\right)}{w_{\alpha}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{N_{p}}} \frac{1}{w_{\alpha}} \left(a_{\alpha} \alpha!\right) \left(w_{\alpha} \frac{y^{\alpha}}{\alpha!}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{N_{p}}} a_{\alpha} y^{\alpha} = f(y) .$$

Zwicknagl (2009), Zwicknagl & Schaback (2013)

<ロ> <@> < E> < E> E のQの

COMPUATION OF KERNELS

EXAMPLE: $H_{\gamma}^{1}([a, b])$

$$(f,g)_{H^{1}_{\gamma}([\alpha,b])} = \int_{\alpha}^{b} f(x) g(x) dx + \gamma \int_{\alpha}^{b} \partial f(x) \partial g(x) dx$$

similar to anchored spaces $H_{1,\gamma}$ from Dick, Kuo, Sloan (2014)

AIM: REPRODUCTION FORMULA

$$f(\mathbf{y}) = (f, K(\cdot, \mathbf{y}))_{H^{1}_{\gamma}([\alpha, b])}$$

= $\int_{\alpha}^{b} f(\mathbf{x}) K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} + \gamma \int_{\alpha}^{b} \partial f(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{\mathbf{x}} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x}$

Fasshauer, Ye (2011), Cavoretto, Fasshauer, McCourt (2017)

GREENS FUNCTION

• integration by parts

$$(f,g)_{H^{1}_{\gamma}([a,b])} = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \left(g(x) - \gamma \partial^{2} g(x)\right) dx + \gamma \left[f(b) \partial g(b) - f(a) \partial g(a)\right]$$

Greens function for

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathsf{Id} - \gamma \partial^2$$

subject to Neumann BC

• Eigensystem of Neumann Laplace

$$\phi_k(x) = \cos\left(\pi k \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right) \quad \lambda_k = (\pi k)^2$$

Mercer-kernel

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{1 + \gamma(\pi k)^2} \cos\left(\pi k \frac{x - a}{b - a}\right) \cos\left(\pi k \frac{y - a}{b - a}\right)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

EXPLICIT COMPUTATIONS

assume: ∂K diagonal jump

$$f(x) = \int_{a}^{b} f(y) K(x, y) dy + \gamma \int_{a}^{b} \partial f(y) \partial K(x, y) dy$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} f(y) K(x, y) dy - \gamma \int_{a}^{b} f(y) \partial^{2} K(y, x) dy$$

$$+ \gamma [f(y) \partial K(x, y)]_{a}^{x} + \gamma [f(y) \partial K(x, y)]_{x}^{b}$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} f(y) (K(x, y) - \gamma \partial^{2} K(y, x)) dy$$

$$\gamma f(y) (\partial K(x_{+}, x) - \partial K(x_{-}, x))$$

$$+ \gamma (f(b) \partial K(b, x) - f(a) \partial K(a, x)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

Schaback's Lecture Notes (2007)

COMPUTATION CONT.



SOLUTION FOR [0, 1] $K(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{\sinh\sqrt{\gamma}} \cosh\left(\sqrt{\gamma} \left(1 - \max\{x, y\}\right)\right) \cosh\left(\sqrt{\gamma} \min\{x, y\}\right)$

Schaback's Lecture Notes (2007)

MANIFOLD REGULARIZATION

$$s^{\star} = \operatorname{argmin}_{s \in \mathcal{H}} F(s)$$

$$F(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{s}} V(y_{i}, z_{i}, s(y_{i})) + \gamma_{K} \|s\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} + \gamma_{\mathcal{M}} \|s\|_{\mathcal{M}}^{2}$$

- V loss function and \mathcal{H} RKHS with kernel K
- $\|s\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = (s, (-\Delta_{\mathcal{M}})s)_{L^2} \rightarrow \text{Graph-Laplacian } \Delta_{\mathcal{M}}(h)$

$$\frac{s|_{Y_{N_{S}}}^{T}\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}(h)s|_{Y_{N_{S}}}}{N_{S}^{2}} = \frac{1}{N_{S}^{2}}\sum_{i,j=1}^{N_{S}}(s(\gamma_{i}) - s(\gamma_{j}))^{2}W_{i,j}$$

Representer Theorem (Belkin, Niyogi, Sindhwani (2006))

$$s^{\star} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}} \alpha_{i} K(\cdot, \mathbf{y}_{i})$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

GRAPH LAPLACE

Similarity measure: $W_{i,j} = \exp\left(-\frac{\|y_i - y_j\|_2}{4t}\right)$

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{M}}(h) = D - W = (L_{j,k})_{1 \le j,k \le N_{S}}$$

$$L_{j,k} = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{\|Y_{j} - Y_{k}\|_{2}}{4t}\right) & \text{if } j \ne k \\ -\sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \ne k}}^{N_{S}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|Y_{\ell} - Y_{k}\|_{2}}{4t}\right) & \text{if } j = k \end{cases}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- discretization of heat flow
- parameter t has to be chosen carefully
- heat kernel

HEAT-KERNEL INDUCED GEOMETRIES

• Anistropic heat equation

 $\partial_t u(t, x) - \mathcal{L}_x u(t, x) = 0, \quad u(0, x) = u^{(0)}(x)$

- \mathcal{L}_{x} second order elliptic operator, e.g. $\mathcal{L}_{x}u(t,x) = \text{Div}(\alpha(x)\nabla u(t,x))$
- p(t, x, y) fundamental solution

 $\partial_t p(t, x, y) - \mathcal{L}_x p(t, x, y) = 0,$



$$\lim_{t\to 0} p(t, x, y) = \delta(x - y)$$

• Diffusion distance

$$D_{t}^{2}(x_{1}, x_{2}) := \|p(t, x_{1}, \cdot) - p(t, x_{2}, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}(d\mu)}^{2}$$
$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} e^{-2\mu_{\ell}t} (\phi_{\ell}(x_{1}) - \phi_{\ell}(x_{2}))^{2}$$

using eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of \mathcal{L}_{X}

Kernels

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{\ell} \phi_{\ell}(x) \phi_{\ell}(y)$$

Coifman & Lafon ('06)

TYPICAL QUESTIONS FOR POSITIVE DEFINITE MULTISCALE KERNELS

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{\ell} \phi_{\ell}(x) \phi_{\ell}(y)$$

Want to reconstruct

$$f\in \mathcal{H}:=\{f=\sum_{\ell=0}^\infty a_\ell\lambda_\ell^{1/2}\phi_\ell:\ \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty |a_\ell|^2<\infty\}$$

from values $y_n \approx f(x_n)$, $n = 1, \ldots, N$

Ansatz: $Rf(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n K(x, x_n)$

- Bound the worst case approximation error for a function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ in dependence on the points x_n
- Approximate K by finite sums $K^{L}(x, y) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \lambda_{\ell} \phi_{\ell}(x) \phi_{\ell}(y)$ such that
 - the Gramian matrix $(K^{L}(x_{n}, x_{\tilde{n}}))_{n, \tilde{n} \in \mathbb{N}}$ remains invertible.
 - the worst-case error for an arbitrary admissible (price) function has the same asymptotic behavior when using the truncated kernel

Some results

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \lambda_{\ell} \phi_{\ell}(\mathbf{x}) \phi_{\ell}(\mathbf{y})$$

• Sufficient conditions for L, e.g., if the weights λ_{ℓ} decay geometrically, then choosing $L = L(q_X)$ preserves the required good properties. Griebel, Rieger & BZ ('15) & ('17)



- Error analysis for generalized Besov-spaces on metric measure spaces, stability results Griebel, CR & Zwicknagl ('15) & ('17)
- If $\{\phi_\ell\}$ is not an orthogonal system but e.g. monomials, tight frames, ... Zwicknagl ('09); Schaback & Zwicknagl ('13); Opfer ('05); Griebel, CR & Zwicknagl ('15) & ('17)

DETERMINISTIC ERROR ANALYSIS

$$s^{\star} = \operatorname{argmin}_{s \in \mathcal{H}} F(s)$$

$$F(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{s}} V(\gamma_{i}, z_{i}, s(\gamma_{i})) + \gamma_{K} \|s\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} + \gamma_{\mathcal{M}} \|s\|_{\mathcal{M}}^{2}$$

• Stability

$$\|\boldsymbol{s}^{\star}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} + \frac{\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}}{\gamma_{\mathcal{K}}} \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{\mathcal{M}}^{2} + \frac{1}{\gamma_{\mathcal{K}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{S}} V(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}, \boldsymbol{z}_{i}, \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}))$$

Consistency

$$V(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{s}^{\star}(\mathbf{y}_i)) \leq \gamma_K \|\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \gamma_{\mathcal{M}} \|\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_S} V(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}_i))$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

• Stochastic Analysis in L_{∞} , Fischer, Steinwart (2017)

• least-squares $V(y_i, z_i, s(y_i)) = (z_i - s(y_i))^2$

•
$$f \in \mathcal{H}$$
 and $|z_i - f(y_i)| \le \epsilon_h$

• Stability

$$\|\boldsymbol{s}^{\star}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} \leq \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} + \frac{\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}}{\gamma_{\mathcal{K}}}\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{\mathcal{M}}^{2} + \frac{N_{\mathcal{S}}\epsilon_{h}^{2}}{\gamma_{\mathcal{K}}}$$

• Consistency

$$(f(\boldsymbol{y}_i) - \boldsymbol{s}^{\star}(\boldsymbol{y}_i))^2 \leq \gamma_{\mathcal{K}} \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \gamma_{\mathcal{M}} \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 + N_{\mathcal{S}} \epsilon_h^2$$

SAMPLING INEQUALITIES FOR SCATTERED DATA

$$\|u\|_{W} \lesssim C_{1}(h_{Y_{N_{S}}}) \|u\|_{S} + C_{2}(h_{Y_{N_{S}}}) \|u|_{h_{Y_{N_{S}}}} \|_{W(Y_{N_{S}})}$$

 $C_1
ightarrow 0$ as $h_{Y_{N_S}}
ightarrow 0$, smoothness difference W and S

• Narcowich, J.D. Ward & Wendland (2005) functions with zeros, $u|_{Y_{N_s}} = 0$,

$$|u|_{W_p^k} \le Ch^{m-k} |u|_{W_p^m}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

$$\left|u\right|_{W_{\mathcal{P}}^{k}} \leq C\left(h^{m-k}\left|u\right|_{W_{\mathcal{P}}^{m}} + h^{-k}\left\|u\right|_{Y_{N_{\mathcal{S}}}}\right\|_{\ell_{\infty}(Y_{N_{\mathcal{S}}})}\right)$$

• Madych, J. Approx. Th. (2006)

$$\|u\|_{L_{p}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(h^{m}|u|_{W_{p}^{m}(\Omega)} + h^{d/p}\|u|_{X}\|_{\ell_{p}(Y_{N_{s}})}\right)$$

and many more ...

EXPONENTIAL APPROXIMATION RATES

SMOOTH FUNCTIONS

Hilbert space \mathcal{H} of functions $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ with embedding constants $C_{\mathcal{H}}(k)$, s. t. for a fixed p and all $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\|f\|_{W^k_\rho(\Omega)} \leq C_{\mathcal{H}}(k) \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$
.

The sampling rate

- is measured in $h = h_{Y_{N_s},\Omega} = \sup_{y \in \Omega} \min_{y_j \in Y_{N_s}} \|y y_j\|$
- ② depends on the embedding constants $C_{\mathcal{H}}(k)$ for $k \to \infty$
- ${f 0}$ depends on the geometry of the domain ${f \Omega}$

GUIDELINE

The slower the embedding constants $C_{\mathcal{H}}(k)$ grow with $k \to \infty$, the better are the approximation rates!

EXPONENTIAL RATES: RESULTS FOR GAUSSIANS

EMBEDDING CONSTANTS

 $C_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{k}) \leq C_{E}^{k} \mathbf{k}^{\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

• \mathcal{D} compact cube CR & Zwicknagl, (2010)

$$\|r\|_{L_{q}(\mathcal{D})} \leq e^{C\log(h)/h} \|r\|_{\mathcal{H}} + c^{1/h} \|r\|_{X} \|_{\ell_{\infty}(Y_{N_{S}})}$$

• *D* interior cone condition, points clustered towards boundary CR & Zwicknagl, (2014)

$$\|D^{\alpha}r\|_{L_{q}(\mathcal{D})} \leq e^{C\log(h)/h} \|r\|_{\mathcal{H}} + ch^{-2|\alpha|} \|r|_{Y_{N_{S}}} \|_{\ell_{\infty}(Y_{N_{S}})}$$

INTERPOLATION RATE

$$\left\| f - s_{f, Y_{N_{S}}} \right\|_{L_{q}(\mathcal{D})} \leq e^{C \log(h) / h} \left\| f \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

APPLICATION TO INTERPOLATION

•
$$r = f - s_{f,Y_S}$$

•
$$\left\| s_{f,Y_{N_{S}}} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$
 and $r|_{Y_{N_{S}}} = 0$

DETERMINISTIC ERROR ANALYSIS FOR MANIFOLD SEMI-SUPERVISED LEARNING

Ingredients

$$\begin{split} \|s^{\star}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} &\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} + \frac{\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}}{\gamma_{\mathcal{K}}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}}^{2} + \frac{N_{S}\epsilon_{h}^{2}}{\gamma_{\mathcal{K}}} \\ & f(y_{i}) - s^{\star}(y_{i}))^{2} \leq \gamma_{\mathcal{K}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2} + \gamma_{\mathcal{M}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}}^{2} + N_{S}\epsilon_{h}^{2} \\ & \|u\|_{W} \lesssim C_{1}\left(h_{Y_{N_{S}}}\right) \|u\|_{S} + C_{2}\left(h_{Y_{N_{S}}}\right) \left\|u|_{h_{Y_{N_{S}}}}\right\|_{W(Y_{N_{S}})} \\ & \text{choose } W = L^{\infty}(\Omega) \Rightarrow C_{2}\left(h_{Y_{N_{S}}}\right) = \text{const} \\ & \text{choose } u = f - s^{\star} \in \mathcal{H} \end{split}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 _ のへで

Griebel&CR (2017)

$$\begin{split} \|f - s^{\star}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} &\lesssim C_{1}\left(h_{Y_{N_{S}}}\right)\|f - s^{\star}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + C_{2}\|f|_{Y_{N_{S}}} - s^{\star}|_{Y_{N_{S}}}\|_{\ell^{\infty}(Y_{N_{S}})} \\ &\lesssim C_{1}\left(h_{Y_{N_{S}}}\right)\left(2 + \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{K}}{\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}}}\right)\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &+ C_{2}\left(\sqrt{\gamma_{K}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sqrt{\gamma_{K}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \\ &+ \left(1 + \frac{C_{1}\left(h_{Y_{N_{S}}}\right)}{\sqrt{\gamma_{K}}}\right)\sqrt{N_{S}}\epsilon_{h} \end{split}$$

Choose:

$$\sqrt{\gamma_{K}} = \sqrt{\gamma_{\mathcal{M}}} \sim C_{1} \left(h_{Y_{N_{S}}} \right)$$

$$\|f - s^{\star}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \lesssim C_{1}\left(h_{Y_{N_{s}}}\right)\left(\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}\right) + \sqrt{N_{s}}\epsilon_{h}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Griebel&CR (2017)

CONCLUSION

- problem adapted kernels
- problems from parametric pde, greens function, heat kernels
- kernel needs careful computation
- determinitsic errors need regularization
- deterministic a priori error analysis

Thank you for interest!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@