

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-01154-2

OPEN

Check for updates

Evolutionary history of zoogeographical regions surrounding the Tibetan Plateau

Jiekun He^{® 1}, Siliang Lin^{® 1}, Jiatang Li², Jiehua Yu¹ & Haisheng Jiang^{1⊠}

The Tibetan Plateau (TP) and surrounding regions have one of the most complex biotas on Earth. However, the evolutionary history of these regions in deep time is poorly understood. Here, we quantify the temporal changes in beta dissimilarities among zoogeographical regions during the Cenozoic using 4,966 extant terrestrial vertebrates and 1,278 extinct mammal genera. We identify ten present-day zoogeographical regions and find that they underwent a striking change over time. Specifically, the fauna on the TP was close to the Oriental realm in deep time but became more similar to the Palearctic realms more recently. The present-day zoogeographical regions generally emerged during the Miocene/Pliocene boundary (*ca.* 5 Ma). These results indicate that geological events such as the Indo-Asian Collision, the TP uplift, and the aridification of the Asian interior underpinned the evolutionary history of the zoogeographical regions surrounding the TP over different time periods.

¹ Spatial Ecology Lab, School of Life Sciences, South China Normal University, 510631 Guangzhou, China. ² Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 610041 Chengdu, China. ^{SS}email: jhs@scnu.edu.cn

he Tibetan Plateau (TP) uplift was one of the most important geological events in the Cenozoic era (~65 Ma-present^{1,2}). It substantially modified the topography³ and atmospheric circulation⁴ of Asia (Fig. 1) and resulted in one of the most complex biotas on Earth⁵. Eight major zoogeographical regions were recently identified surrounding the TP^{5,6}, namely, the Mongolian Plateau, Central Asia, North Asia, West Asia, South Asia, Southeast Asia, South China and North China (Fig. 1). However, it is unknown how these present-day zoogeographical regions evolved over geological time, even though this information is crucial for understanding the origin and evolution of life in Asia.

There is growing evidence that the present-day zoogeographical regions surrounding the TP are the products of geological processes and past climatic changes⁷⁻⁹. A common hypothesis is that the TP uplift created species-dispersal barriers during the Cenozoic^{10,11}, and subsequent climatic changes in Asia increased environmental heterogeneity (Fig. 1, see refs. ^{12,13}); also both events caused the geographical isolation of resident lineages and facilitated the differentiation of zoogeographical regions¹⁴. Other biogeographical analyses, however, revealed that historical events, such as the Eocene Indo-Asian Collision^{15,16}, the intercontinental biotic exchange between Eurasia and North America¹⁷ and the Pleistocene glaciation cycle¹⁸, might have expanded species' ranges, promoted dispersal and attenuated the faunistic dissimilarities among regions. These processes have been proved to facilitate dispersal and vicariance for many lineages that might increase or decrease the number of taxa common to different regions¹⁹, and ultimately alter their pairwise faunistic relationships over time. However, it remains uncertain how these processes shaped the evolution and emergence of the present-day zoogeographical regions surrounding the TP.

Recent phylogeographical analyses on the TP have associated biogeographical and evolutionary lineage relationships with specific geological events and periods¹⁴. Unfortunately, most available empirical studies have relied upon the interpretation of single-taxon analyses. They have inferred the influences of geological processes and climatic shifts on genus- or species-level distributions of specific taxa^{10,11,13}. However, responses to common geological events might greatly vary among lineages owing to their biological and ecological differences²⁰. These differences would result in incongruent biogeographical patterns across different taxonomic lineages over space or time^{7,14}. Furthermore, present-day zoogeographical regions were structured by a combination of multiple speciations, extinctions and dispersal processes at several time periods^{21,22}. Thus, biogeographical meta-analysis^{16,22} and community-level analyses^{5,23}, which integrate individual taxon histories into shared biotic area histories, were more promising to clarify the processes shaping biogeographical regions over time^{19,24}.

To date, two primary analyses of community-level data have been used to reconstruct the evolutionary history of zoogeographical regions. One tracked temporal changes in beta diversity between extant communities over a phylogenetic timescale^{23,24}, and another compared compositional dissimilarities among fossil assemblages over geological time^{9,25}. However, both methods have their pros and cons²⁶. The former method provides a finer resolution regarding the spatial and temporal changes in communities²³, but always fails to deal with past extinctions and distribution changes²⁷ and, therefore, provides only indirect evidence. Although the inclusion of ancestral range reconstruction in quantifying phylogenetic dissimilarity can improve estimates of evolutionary history, it is difficult to incorporate extinct lineages into the analysis (ref. ²⁴, but see refs. ^{28,29}). In contrast, palaeontological materials can provide a direct record of past changes in communities, but they always suffer from incomplete



Fig. 1 Palaeogeographical processes in the Tibetan Plateau and surrounding regions during the Cenozoic. Palaeotopography was derived from a palaeo-digital elevation model (palaeoDEM, 1° × 1° resolution) developed by Scotese & Wright⁵². Changes in the atmosphere-ocean climate system were compiled from the data of Sun & Wang⁵⁰. Present-day zoogeographical regions were adapted from Kreft & Jetz⁶ and Holt et al.⁵. CA Central Asia, MP Mongolian Plateau, NA North Asia, NC North China, SA South Asia, SC South China, SEA Southeast Asia, TP Tibetan Plateau, WA West Asia.

preservation³⁰, which possibly conceals some important signs of biogeographical events³¹. Nevertheless, despite the limitations of the respective methods, phylogenetic and palaeontological analyses can usefully complement each other in biogeographical



Fig. 2 Temporal changes in the zoogeographical regions surrounding the Tibetan Plateau at successive phylogenetic depths during the Cenozoic. **a** Map showing zoogeographical regions based on $p\beta_{sim}$ dissimilarity between pairs of grid-based terrestrial vertebrate communities at different phylogenetic depths. The width of the boundary was scaled to the β_{sim} dissimilarity, with thinner lines showing lower β_{sim} dissimilarities. CA Central Asia, IC Indochina, ML Malay Peninsula, MP Mongolian Plateau, NA North Asia, NCK North China & Korea, SA South Asia, SC South China, TP Tibetan Plateau, WA West Asia. **b** Dendrograms plotted by the unweighted pair-group method using arithmetic average clustering. **c** Coordinates for non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination based on the $p\beta_{sim}$ dissimilarity matrix for grid-based terrestrial vertebrate communities.

studies²⁶, and their correspondence has begun to emerge in largescale biogeographical contexts^{32,33}.

In this study, we reconstructed the evolutionary history of the zoogeographical regions surrounding the TP using 4966 extant terrestrial vertebrates along a phylogenetic timescale and 1278 extinct mammal genera over geological time. By comparing analyses implemented over phylogenetic and geological timescales, we aimed to explore the timeframe within which the present-day zoogeographical regions evolved during the Cenozoic era and estimate the time when the present-day spatial structure of the zoogeographical regions emerged. To reconstruct historical changes in the zoogeographical regions, we quantified the phylogenetic beta dissimilarity using extant species along the phylogenetic timescale. For extinct lineages, we calculated beta dissimilarity based on mammal fossil assemblages over geological time. We assessed the changes in assignments and topologies of hierarchical clustering dendrograms and relative positions in ordinations based on beta dissimilarity at different phylogenetic depths and geological periods. Finally, we explored the relationships between the evolutionary history of the zoogeographical regions within the context of geological and climatic events. Our study reveals that the zoogeographical regions underwent a striking change during the Cenozoic era, and broadly emerged in the Miocene/Pliocene boundary (ca. 5 Ma) owing to a series of geological events such as the Indo-Asian Collision, the TP uplift and the aridification of the Asian interior.

Results

Zoogeographical regions over phylogenetic time. Ten presentday zoogeographical regions were delineated by unweighted pairgroup method using arithmetic average (UPGMA) clustering based on $p\beta_{sim}$ matrix, namely the Tibetan Plateau, Mongolian Plateau, Central Asia, North Asia, West Asia, South Asia, Indochina, Malay Peninsula, South China and North China & Korea (Fig. 2). At a phylogenetic depth of 60 Ma, nine clustered zoogeographical regions were identified (Fig. 2). They roughly corresponded to the Palearctic realm, North China & Korea, West Asia, South China, Hengduan Mountains, the northern part of Indochina, the southern part of Indochina, Malay Peninsula and South Asia (Fig. 2a). At the phylogenetic depth of 40 Ma, the northern and southern parts of Indochina and the Malay Peninsula were merged into a united region. The southern part of the TP was separated from South Asia. The boundary between South China and North China & Korea moved from ca. 30 °N to 40 °N. At the phylogenetic depth of 20 Ma, the most striking change was that Central Asia combined with the Mongolian Plateau and emerged as an independent region. Then, Central Asia was separated from the Mongolian Plateau at a phylogenetic depth of 10 Ma. The spatial structures of the present-day zoogeographical regions are broadly similar to those at a phylogenetic depth of 5 Ma, when the whole TP was identified as an independent region. These findings are broadly consistent with the analyses performed on the whole-region species list for all terrestrial vertebrates (Supplementary Fig. 1). Notably, these biogeographical processes varied among taxonomic groups, as reflected by the spatial patterns (Supplementary Fig. 2) and the Mantel correlation test (Supplementary Fig. 3). The $p\beta_{sim}$ structure between mammals and all terrestrial vertebrates showed the highest correlation in the present day (Supplementary Fig. 2 and 3). In contrast, their correlations were gradually weaker than those between ectotherms (i.e., reptiles and amphibians) and their combined counterparts in deeper phylogenetic time bins (Supplementary Fig. 3).

Temporal changes in the spatial structures of the zoogeographical regions were reflected in the UPGMA dendrograms and non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordinations (Fig. 2b, c). Interestingly, the relationships between the zoogeographical regions over phylogenetic timescales were well illustrated by the Procrustes analysis (Fig. 3). For example, in the deep branches, the grid cells of North China & Korea and North Asia, and those of the Mongolian Plateau and Central Asia largely overlapped. In contrast, their differences were clearer in the shallow branches (Fig. 3). However, the relationships between West Asia and other regions underwent less change over phylogenetic timescales (Fig. 3). Interestingly, the species assemblage on the TP was similar to that of the Oriental realm in the past, but it became closer to the Palearctic realm towards the present day (arrow of the TP in Fig. 3 pointing to the Palearctic realm). This shift was also illustrated by the spatial patterns showing that the boundary between the TP and Mongolian Plateau became shallower in the present day, while the boundary between the TP and South Asia gradually strengthened (Fig. 2).



Fig. 3 Temporal changes in terrestrial vertebrate communities in zoogeographical regions on a phylogenetic timescale. Each gridded terrestrial vertebrate assemblage is represented by a small arrow linking the six coordinates through time (0 Ma, 5 Ma, 10 Ma, 20 Ma, 40 Ma and 60 Ma, respectively). Large arrows represent average gridded species assemblages across zoogeographical regions. Arrow colours correspond to the zoogeographical regions in the inset map. CA Central Asia, IC Indochina, ML Malay Peninsula, MP Mongolian Plateau, NA North Asia, NCK North China & Korea, SA South Asia, SC South China, TP Tibetan Plateau, WA West Asia.

Zoogeographical regions over geological time. The UPGMA clustering based on the fossil records showed that relationships between zoogeographical regions underwent a striking change through geological time (Fig. 4). In the Eocene (56-33.9 Ma), the TP was merged with South China and North China & Korea and then grouped with Central Asia and the Mongolian Plateau. South Asia emerged as the most distinct region, showing strong dissimilarities to the other regions (Fig. 4). During the Oligocene (33.9-23.0 Ma), after the initial collision between India and Eurasia, the TP was first grouped with South Asia. During the Early Miocene (23-15.9 Ma) and Mid-Late Miocene (15.9-5.3 Ma), however, the TP was first merged with the Mongolian Plateau. Then, the combination of the TP and Mongolian Plateau was grouped with the Oriental realm (i.e., South China and South Asia) in the Early Miocene, whereas they merged with the Palearctic realm (i.e., North China & Korea, North Asia and West Asia) in the Mid-Late Miocene. During the Pliocene-Pleistocene period (5.3 Ma-11.8 Ka), the division between the Palearctic and Oriental realms emerged. When we quantified β_{sim} dissimilarity based on the extant mammal lists for the whole region, four groups of zoogeographical regions were identified, namely group 1: Central Asia + Mongolian Plateau + North Asia, group 2: South Asia + West Asia, group 3: Indochina + Malay Peninsula and group 4: North China + South China + Tibetan Plateau (Fig. 4).

Comparison between phylogenetic and palaeontological inferences. The faunistic relationships between zoogeographical regions based on phylogenetic information and fossil data yielded considerable differences. For instance, Central Asia combined with the Mongolian Plateau emerged as an independent region at a phylogenetic depth of 20 Ma, while this pattern was not detected in the fossil data. The present-day zoogeographical regions broadly emerged at a phylogenetic depth of 5 Ma (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig. 1), whereas the spatial structures of the zoogeographical regions between the Pliocene–Pleistocene period and present day displayed some differences based on fossil data (Fig. 4). Nevertheless, we found some consensuses among the phylogenetic and palaeontological inferences. For example, South Asia emerged as a distinct region in the early Cenozoic (compare Figs. 2 and 4). The faunistic similarity between the TP and Oriental realms was close in the early stages after the Indo-Asian Collision, whereas the TP has become more similar to the Palearctic realms since the Early Miocene (ca. 23–15.9 Ma, Figs. 2c–4).

Discussion

Our findings identified ten present-day zoogeographical regions surrounding the TP, which are broadly consistent with the regions identified by previous global regionalisation studies^{5,6}, despite different taxonomic groups being used as inputs. This indicates that different lineages share the similar ecological and historical drivers that underpin their co-occurrence distributions^{8,34}. Notably, our results did not recognise the Sino-Japanese realm at a higher classification level as proposed by Holt et al.⁵, as the present-day TP was first grouped with the Mongolian Plateau and then merged into other regions within the Palearctic realm (Fig. 2a, b). This pattern corroborated the assumption that the distinctiveness of the Sino-Japanese realm is rather weak and may be easily altered by slight changes in the



Fig. 4 Temporal changes in mammal fossil assemblages between zoogeographical regions during the Cenozoic. a Beta dissimilarities between zoogeographical regions inferred from UPGMA clustering are indicated by lines, with thinner lines indicating higher β_{sim} dissimilarities. Palaeomaps were derived from a palaeo-digital elevation model developed by Scotese & Wright⁵². b Dendrograms from the unweighted pair-group method using arithmetic average hierarchical clustering of regional mammal lists during five time intervals and the present. c Non-metric multidimensional scaling ordinations based on the β_{sim} matrices of regional mammal assemblages. CA Central Asia, IC Indochina, ML Malay Peninsula, MP Mongolian Plateau, NA North Asia, NCK North China & Korea, SA South Asia, SC South China, TP Tibetan Plateau, WA West Asia.

methods or taxonomic groups used³⁵. Nevertheless, as this study focused only on the TP and its surrounding regions, further studies are required to assess the validity of the Sino-Japanese realm.

When we tracked the evolutionary history of the zoogeographical regions back to the early Cenozoic, South Asia (i.e., the Indian plate) was identified as an independent region based on both the phylogenetic and palaeontological results (Figs. 2 and 4). Although the phylogenetic distinctiveness of South Asia was lower than the palaeontological estimate, the independence of South Asia is still valid (Fig. 2). Tectonic studies have suggested that the Indian plate was part of Gondwana and was completely isolated from Eurasia before the Eocene continental collision (ca. 55-50 Ma³⁶). As expected, it harbours several relict taxa closely related to the African and Madagascar lineages^{37,38} that represent obviously distinct fauna from that of Eurasia. Notably, our results showed that the faunistic relationships between South Asia and the other zoogeographical regions within the Eurasian plate became closer towards the present day (Figs. 2 and 4). This shift is likely to reflect the imprint of the extensive biotic interchanges between South Asia and Eurasia since the Eocene^{15,16}. Consequently, present-day South Asia shares a large proportion of extant lineages with Eurasia, and is placed in the Oriental realm instead of the African realm at a higher classification level^{5,6}.

It has long been suggested that the uplift of the TP reconfigured the spatial structures of the biota in Asia^{7,14}, but the faunistic relationships between the TP and its surrounding regions have not been adequately assessed. Our results revealed that the fauna on the TP was closer to the Oriental realm in deep time, but became more similar to the Palearctic realms towards the present time. This finding was independently confirmed by the $p\beta_{sim}$ dissimilarity of extant species (Figs. 2 and 3) and β_{sim} dissimilarity of mammal fossils (Fig. 4). This trend is probably because, during the Eocene to Oligocene (ca. 56-23 Ma), the southern part of the TP began to emerge above sea level owing to the Indo-Asian Collision, whereas its northern part was still part of the Tethys Ocean¹. Accordingly, some Palaeogene-aged lineages could have been expected to co-occur within both the Indian plate and TP³⁹. However, as the uplift of the TP proceeded, the high, steep mountains in the southern TP began to act as a barrier to biotic exchanges between the TP and the Oriental realm since the Middle Miocene (ca. $15.9-11.6 \text{ Ma}^{40}$). In contrast, multiple species could disperse from the Palearctic realm into the TP via the northern routes due to the moderate topographic gradient^{41,42}. Interestingly, only the southern part of the TP was identified at the phylogenetic depth of 40–10 Ma (Fig. 2), indicating that its species assemblage was more phylogenetically distinct in deep time. Both of these patterns provided biogeographical evidence that the TP underwent a south-to-north uplift process^{7,43}, and supported the recent palaeobotanical findings that the elevation of the southern part of the TP reached its present height at the Eocene–Oligocene boundary (ca. 34 Ma⁴⁴).

We also found a profound change in that Central Asia combined with the Mongolian Plateau became an independent region at the phylogenetic depth of 20 Ma (Fig. 2). This timing is approximately contemporaneous with the aridification of the Asian interior during the early Miocene (ca. 24–22 Ma^{45,46}), which was characterised by the contraction of the wet-humid biome and expansion of the dry-cool biome⁴⁷. The aridification has been proposed to have created new habitats for speciation or dispersal barriers, and resulted in extensive vicariance events according to multiple taxon-specific studies^{13,48}. Other evidence from mammalian fossils⁴⁹, palaeobotanical records⁵⁰ and sedimentary data⁴⁵ support such an important regional climatic change during this period and corroborate our phylogenetic results. However, the emergence of Central Asia and the Mongolian Plateau region was not observed in our fossil data (Fig. 4), which likely reflects the limitations of our palaeontological inferences (see details below).

Present-day spatial structures of the zoogeographical regions broadly emerged at the phylogenetic depth of 5 Ma (Fig. 2), as evidenced by the phylogenetic analyses based on both the gridded assemblages (Fig. 2) and whole-region species lists (Supplementary Fig. 1). According to the fossil data, although the spatial patterns during the Pliocene–Pleistocene period (5.3 Ma–11.8 Ka) were rather incongruent with those of the present day (Fig. 4), the correlation between their β_{sim} dissimilarity matrices remained significant (Mantel test, P < 0.05; Supplementary Table 1). Previous palaeontological analyses in China yielded a similar timing and showed that the spatial structure of mammalian communities originated during the Pliocene^{9,51}. This probably happened because the emergence of modern orographic patterns⁵² and monsoon systems⁴ has formed dispersal limitations and accelerated lineage diversification. Many in situ radiation events within zoogeographical regions have been reported, including the pika *Ochotona* on the TP⁵³, the gibbon *Hylobates* in Indochina⁵⁴ and the palm squirrel *Funambulus* in South Asia⁵⁵, as well as several examples reported for other taxa (e.g., plants⁵⁶, fishes⁵⁷ and birds⁵⁸). Although the subsequent Pleistocene glaciation cycle was expected to influence the geographical ranges of species and biodiversity distributions⁵⁹, our results detected negligible influences of this event on the spatial structure of the zoogeographical regions surrounding the TP (Fig. 2).

Notably, some discrepancies in the historical changes in zoogeographical regions emerged between taxonomic groups and analytical methods. For example, the ectotherms were more important than the endotherms in structuring the zoogeographical regions at deeper phylogenetic time bins (Supplementary Figs. 2 and 3). This probably results from differences in their life history strategies and evolutionary processes. The ectotherms are generally confined to fewer zoogeographical regions due to higher environmental sensitivity and weaker dispersal capacity⁶⁰. Niche shifts in endotherms are faster than those in ectotherms⁶¹, which have likely altered more $p\beta_{sim}$ patterns of endotherms towards the present⁶². Furthermore, the discrepancies in the phylogenetic and palaeontological inferences highlight the different outcomes of these methods. For example, the fauna of South Asia was clearly distinct from that of Eurasia during the early Cenozoic, but its phylogenetic dissimilarity was much lower than the palaeontological dissimilarity. This pattern illustrates that the past extinction of ancient endemism and geographical range shift caused by biotic interchanges might conceal considerable phylogenetic signals in deep time⁶³, and thus potentially biases the dissimilarity estimates^{19,24}. Since the extant species represent only a subset of lineages in the phylogenetic tree, a comprehensive picture of the early history of a biota and its temporal changes cannot be resolved by the extant species alone⁶³. However, analyses based on the fossil record fail to detect some biogeographical changes, such as the emergence of Central Asia and the Mongolian Plateau during the Early Miocene (ca. 23-15.9 Ma). One possible reason is that we had to merge the fossil records to coarse zoogeographical regions owing to the low number of fossils, which, however, only reflect the temporal changes in pairwise faunistic relationships between regions. Alternatively, the available fossil collection inevitably suffered from uneven sampling (Supplementary Table 2; see ref. ³⁰) and time averaging³¹, making it impossible to clarify the finer-scale position of the zoogeographical boundaries and to reconstruct their successive temporal changes as the phylogenetic methods do²³. Nonetheless, although there are some differences in the methods and inferred patterns between phylogenetic and palaeontological estimates, the comparisons between these two methods are still informative. For example, the changes in the relationships between the TP and its surrounding regions were effectively resolved by both methods. Overall, using communitylevel data to reconstruct the temporal changes in biogeographical regions is still a challenging and ongoing mission. Further studies are needed to integrate the molecular phylogenies and fossil data into a combined dataset⁶⁴, together with ancestral area estimates⁶⁵, to enable a more comprehensive understanding of evolutionary histories of present-day biogeographical patterns.

In conclusion, based on the long-term changes in beta dissimilarity inferred from the palaeontological data and phylogenetic information, this study reconstructed the evolutionary history of the zoogeographical regions surrounding the TP during the Cenozoic Era. Our study demonstrated that the faunistic relationships among these regions underwent a substantial reconfiguration during the Cenozoic as a consequence of several biogeographical events during different periods. These events included the Indo-Asian Collision, the TP uplift and the aridification of the Asian interior. The present-day zoogeographical regions surrounding the TP originated during the Miocene/Pliocene boundary (ca. 5 Ma) when the modern geographical pattern and climatic systems were established. The present study highlights the importance of comparing phylogenetic and palaeontological inferences to reconstruct the history of biogeographical regions. In this way, we may enhance our comprehension of the origin and evolution of life driven by various ecoevolutionary processes over space and time.

Methods

Species data. We obtained extant species distribution maps from the IUCN Red List website (http://www.iucnredlist.org) for mammals and amphibians, Birdlife International and NatureServe (http://www.birdlife.org) for birds and Roll et al.66 for reptiles. We excluded introduced, marine and domestic species. Species geographical ranges were transformed into presence and absence data in a matrix of 110-km × 110-km grid cells with the Behrmann projection. We removed grid cells with a land area <50% and species richness <5 to minimise the negative influences of the unequal sampling area and statistical uncertainty. We obtained the most comprehensive dated phylogenies available online (http://vertlife.org/phylosubsets) for each vertebrate group. For mammals, we used a phylogenetic tree (5911 species) from Upham et al.⁶⁷ that used two levels of Bayesian inference (backbone relationships and species-level phylogenies) to constrain the age and topological uncertainty. For birds, we used a phylogenetic tree (9993 species) from Jetz et al.⁶⁸ based on the Hackett family-level backbone. For reptiles and amphibians, we used phylogenetic trees from Tonini et al.⁶⁹ and Jetz & Pyron⁷⁰, comprising 9574 squamate species and 7238 amphibian species, respectively. In these phylogenies, the topology of species with molecular data was fixed, and the remaining species unsampled for DNA-sequence data were assigned randomly within their genus or higher-level groups based on morphology^{69,70}, resulting in a distribution of 10,000 trees. We downloaded a set of posterior distributions of trees (n = 1000) online using complete lists of all available species, and obtained the maximum cladecredibility phylogenies using the 'maxCladeCred' function from the 'phangorn' package⁷¹ in R version 3.6.0⁷². After combining the distributional and phylogenetic data, our dataset comprised a total of 4966 extant terrestrial vertebrates, including 1022 mammals, 1741 birds, 1453 reptiles and 750 amphibians (Supplementary Data 1).

Fossil data. We obtained fossil records from four databases: Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Beijing (http://www.ivpp.ac.cn/), the Paleobiology Database (https://www.paleobiodb.org/), the New and Old Worlds database (https://www.helsinki.fi/science/now/) and the Fossilworks database (https:// fossilworks.org/), accessed in April 2018. We focused only on the mammal fossils owing to their relatively good preservation and samples⁷³. In addition, we used genus rather than species as the analytical unit because the fossil records at the genus level included more complete sampling and reliable identification⁶⁴. We standardised the taxonomy according to the Paleobiology Database and excluded taxa unidentifiable at the genus level. We removed the duplicated records and merged spatially closest collection localities by combining those within 0.1 latitude and longitude9. Our final fossil dataset consisted of 5880 fossil occurrences of 170 families and 1278 genera (Supplementary Data 2). We reconstructed the fossil records from present-day coordinates back to their palaeo-position based on the mean age of the fossil in a temporal range using the 'reconstruct' function in the 'chronosphere' package⁷⁴.

Delineation of present-day zoogeographical regions. To delineate present-day zoogeographical regions, we used Simpson's phylogenetic beta diversity ($p\beta_{sim}$) to generate pairwise dissimilarities between all pairs of grid cells using R package 'betapart'⁷⁵. We calculated four $p\beta_{sim}$ matrices for individual taxonomic groups (mammals, birds, reptiles and amphibians) and generated combined $p\beta_{sim}$ matrices for all terrestrial vertebrates by taking the mean pβ_{sim} values⁵. We compared eight hierarchical clustering methods on the psim matrices and assessed the performance of different algorithms in transferring the dissimilarity matrices into dendrograms using cophenetic correlation coefficients⁶. As the UPGMA achieved significantly better performance than the other clustering algorithms (Supplementary Fig. 4), we only used UPGMA clustering for further analyses. We selected suitable cut-off points in the dendrograms using the 'recluster.region' function in the R package 'recluster'76 based on the explained dissimilarity and mean silhouette width⁵ considering the number of regions ranging from 2 to 15 (Supplementary Data 3). We defined the zoogeographical regions as the grid cells were geographically coherent and could be clearly delineated in space. We also ran NMDS ordination to investigate the relationships between zoogeographical regions based on the community compositions in two-dimensional space.

Zoogeographical regions over phylogenetic time. To assess the changes in zoogeographical regions surrounding the TP over phylogenetic timescales, we quantified pßsim between gridded species assemblages at different phylogenetic depths^{19,23}. This method cuts a phylogenetic tree at a specified depth and collapses all descendent leaves into ancestral branches²³. When the geographical distributions of the descendent leaves were merged into their ancestral branches, a branch × site matrix emerged for a predefined depth. We cut the phylogenetic trees into different time bins from 60 Ma to 0 Ma and generated four $p\beta_{sim}$ matrices for four individual taxonomic groups. We employed UPGMA clustering and NMDS ordinations to investigate the relationships among the gridded species assemblages based on the combined $p\beta_{sim}$ matrices for four taxonomic groups in each time slice. Again, we used the explained dissimilarity and mean silhouette width to determine suitable cut-off points in the dendrograms. We investigated the evolutionary history of the zoogeographical regions based on the topological and assignment changes in the UPGMA clustering dendrogram and NMDS ordinations. In addition, we assessed the strength of the relationship between the present-day $p\beta_{sim}$ matrices and those at different phylogenetic depths using the Mantel correlation test. To visualise the relationships between zoogeographical regions over phylogenetic time, we ran the NMDS for various time periods and maximised the correspondence between ordination pairs using Procrustes analysis¹⁹ via the 'procrustes' function in the R package 'vegan'⁷⁷. To assess the cross-taxon congruence in biogeographical processes, we performed these analyses separately for the four individual taxonomic groups.

Zoogeographical regions over geological time. To explore changes in the zoogeographical regions over geological time, we used Simpson's beta diversity (β_{sim}) to generate pairwise dissimilarities between fossil assemblages. Because sample completeness of the fossil records varies considerably in space and time (Supplementary Fig. 5), we assigned the fossil records to coarse spatial and temporal scales to strengthen the sampling intensity for each assemblage. To maximise the comparisons in the analyses based on the phylogenetic information, we assigned each fossil record to one of five time intervals: Eocene (56.0-33.9 Ma), Oligocene (33.9-23.0 Ma), Early Miocene (23.0-15.9 Ma), Mid-Late Miocene (15.9-5.3 Ma) and Pliocene-Pleistocene (5.33-11.8 Ka), and to one of the coarse-grained zoogeographical regions identified by the presentday phylogenetic dissimilarity. We performed UPGMA clustering analyses and NMDS ordinations based on the β_{sim} matrices in different time intervals to explore changes in the zoogeographical regions over geological time. For presentday structures of the zoogeographical regions, we ran analyses based on the extant mammal lists for the whole region to maximise the comparisons of the fossil data.

Statistics and reproducibility. We used Wilcoxon signed-rank tests to compare eight hierarchical clustering methods on the β_{sim} matrices. We used UPGMA clustering analyses and NMDS ordinations based on the β_{sim} matrices in different time bins to explore changes in the zoogeographical regions over time. We used Mantel correlation tests to calculate the correlation coefficients of the β_{sim} between each taxonomic group and all terrestrial vertebrates in different time slices. Statistical significance was calculated with a permutation test. A *P* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed in R version 3.6.0⁷². All raw data and custom R codes are available from the Dryad Digital Repository (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.5x69p8d10⁷⁸).

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

The species geographical ranges were based on the IUCN Red List database (http://www. iucnredlist.org), Birdlife International and NatureServe (http://www.birdlife.org), Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF, http://www.gbif.org) and Roll et al.⁶⁶ (https:// doi.org/10.5061/dryad.83s7k). The phylogenies for four vertebrate classes were available from the VertLife dataset online (http://vertlife.org/phylosubsets). The fossil data were compiled from the Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Beijing (http://www.ivpp.ac.cn/), the Paleobiology Database (https://www.paleobiodb.org/), the New and Old Worlds database (https://www.helsinki.fi/science/now/) and the Fossilworks database (https://fossilworks.org/). The data supporting the findings of this study are available from Dryad Digital Repository (https://doi.org/10.5061/ dryad.5x69p8d10⁷⁸).

Code availability

The R code used for this study is deposited in the Dryad Digital Repository (https://doi. org/10.5061/dryad.5x69p8d10^{78}).

Received: 23 April 2020; Accepted: 15 July 2020; Published online: 31 July 2020

References

- Royden, L. H., Burchfiel, B. C. & van der Hilst, R. D. The geological evolution of the Tibetan Plateau. *Science* 321, 1054–1058 (2008).
- Wang, C. et al. Outward-growth of the Tibetan Plateau during the Cenozoic: a review. *Tectonophysics* 621, 1–43 (2014).
- Yin, A. Cenozoic tectonic evolution of Asia: a preliminary synthesis. Tectonophysics 488, 293–325 (2010).
- An, Z., Kutzbach, J. E., Prell, W. L. & Porter, S. C. Evolution of Asian monsoons and phased uplift of the Himalaya–Tibetan plateau since Late Miocene times. *Nature* 411, 62–66 (2001).
- Holt, B. G. et al. An update of Wallace's zoogeographic regions of the world. Science 339, 74–78 (2013).
- Kreft, H. & Jetz, W. A framework for delineating biogeographical regions based on species distributions. J. Biogeogr. 37, 2029–2053 (2010).
- Favre, A. et al. The role of the uplift of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau for the evolution of Tibetan biotas. *Biol. Rev.* 90, 236–253 (2015).
- Ficetola, G. F., Mazel, F. & Thuiller, W. Global determinants of zoogeographical boundaries. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1, 89 (2017).
- He, J., Kreft, H., Lin, S., Xu, Y. & Jiang, H. Cenozoic evolution of beta diversity and a Pleistocene emergence for modern mammal faunas in China. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 27, 1326–1338 (2018).
- Zhang, P. et al. Phylogeny, evolution, and biogeography of Asiatic Salamanders (Hynobiidae). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 103, 7360–7365 (2006).
- Che, J. et al. Spiny frogs (Paini) illuminate the history of the Himalayan region and Southeast Asia. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107, 13765–13770 (2010).
- Meng, J. & McKenna, M. C. Faunal turnovers of Palaeogene mammals from the Mongolian Plateau. *Nature* 394, 364–367 (1998).
- Pisano, J. et al. Out of Himalaya: the impact of past Asian environmental changes on the evolutionary and biogeographical history of Dipodoidea (Rodentia). J. Biogeogr. 42, 856–870 (2015).
- Mosbrugger, V., Favre, A., Muellner-Riehl, A. N., Päckert, M. & Mulch, A. Cenozoic evolution of geo-biodiversity in the Tibeto-Himalayan region. in *Mountains, Climate and Biodiversity* (eds Hoorn, C., Perrigo, A. & Antonelli, A.) 429–448 (Wiley-Blackwell, 2018).
- Li, J. et al. Diversification of rhacophorid frogs provides evidence for accelerated faunal exchange between India and Eurasia during the Oligocene. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 110, 3441–3446 (2013).
- Klaus, S., Morley, R. J., Plath, M., Zhang, Y. P. & Li, J. T. Biotic interchange between the Indian subcontinent and mainland Asia through time. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 12132 (2016).
- Jiang, D., Klaus, S., Zhang, Y. P., Hillis, D. M. & Li, J. T. Asymmetric biotic interchange across the Bering land bridge between Eurasia and North America. *Natl Sci. Rev.* 6, 739–745 (2019).
- Deng, T. et al. Out of Tibet: Pliocene woolly rhino suggests high-plateau origin of ice age megaherbivores. *Science* 333, 1285–1288 (2011).
- Mazel, F. et al. Global patterns of β-diversity along the phylogenetic timescale: the role of climate and plate tectonics. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 26, 1211–1221 (2017).
- Antonelli, A. et al. Amazonia is the primary source of Neotropical biodiversity. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 115, 6034–6039 (2018).
- Daru, B. H., Elliott, T. L., Park, D. S. & Davies, T. J. Understanding the processes underpinning patterns of phylogenetic regionalization. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 32, 845–860 (2017).
- Hazzi, N. A., Moreno, J. S., Ortiz-Movliav, C. & Palacio, R. D. Biogeographic regions and events of isolation and diversification of the endemic biota of the tropical Andes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 115, 7985–7990 (2018).
- Daru, B. H., van der Bank, M. & Davies, T. J. Unravelling the evolutionary origins of biogeographic assemblages. *Divers. Distrib.* 24, 313–324 (2018).
- Cowman, P. F., Parravicini, V., Kulbicki, M. & Floeter, S. R. The biogeography of tropical reef fishes: endemism and provinciality through time. *Biol. Rev.* 92, 2112–2130 (2017).
- 25. Graham, R. W. et al. Spatial response of mammals to late quaternary environmental fluctuations. *Science* 272, 1601–1606 (1996).
- Hopkins, M. J., Bapst, D. W., Simpson, C. & Warnock, R. C. The inseparability of sampling and time and its influence on attempts to unify the molecular and fossil records. *Paleobiology* 44, 561–574 (2018).
- 27. Silvestro, D. et al. Fossil biogeography: a new model to infer dispersal, extinction and sampling from palaeontological data. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* **371**, 20150225 (2016).
- Dornburg, A., Moore, J., Beaulieu, J. M., Eytan, R. I. & Near, T. J. The impact of shifts in marine biodiversity hotspots on patterns of range evolution: evidence from the Holocentridae (squirrelfishes and soldierfishes). *Evolution* 69, 146–161 (2015).
- Siqueira, A. C., Bellwood, D. R., Cowman, P. F. & Gaither, M. Historical biogeography of herbivorous coral reef fishes: the formation of an Atlantic fauna. J. Biogeogr. 46, 1611–1624 (2019).

ARTICLE

- Kidwell, S. M. & Holland, S. M. The quality of the fossil record: implications for evolutionary analyses. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. S* 33, 561–588 (2002).
- Tomašových, A. & Kidwell, S. M. Fidelity of variation in species composition and diversity partitioning by death assemblages: time-averaging transfers diversity from beta to alpha levels. *Paleobiology* 35, 94–118 (2009).
- Crisp, M. D. & Cook, L. G. How was the Australian flora assembled over the last 65 million years? A molecular phylogenetic perspective. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. S* 44, 303–324 (2013).
- Bacon, C. D. et al. Biological evidence supports an early and complex emergence of the Isthmus of Panama. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 112, 6110–6115 (2015).
- White, A. E., Dey, K. K., Mohan, D., Stephens, M. & Price, T. D. Regional influences on community structure across the tropical-temperate divide. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 2646 (2019).
- Kreft, H. & Jetz, W. Comment on "An update of Wallace's zoogeographic regions of the world". *Science* 341, 343 (2013).
- Ali, J. R. & Aitchison, J. C. Gondwana to Asia: Plate tectonics, paleogeography and the biological connectivity of the Indian sub-continent from the Middle Jurassic through latest Eocene (166–35 Ma). *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 88, 145–166 (2008).
- Renner, S. S. Multiple Miocene Melastomataceae dispersal between Madagascar, Africa and India. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* 359, 1485–1494 (2004).
- Kamei, R. G. et al. Discovery of a new family of amphibians from northeast India with ancient links to Africa. *Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.* 279, 2396–2401 (2012).
- 39. Wu, F., Miao, D., Chang, M. M., Shi, G. & Wang, N. Fossil climbing perch and associated plant megafossils indicate a warm and wet central Tibet during the late Oligocene. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 878 (2017).
- Deng, T. & Ding, L. Paleoaltimetry reconstructions of the Tibetan Plateau: progress and contradictions. *Natl Sci. Rev.* 2, 417–437 (2015).
- Li, Q. & Wang, X. Into Tibet: an early Pliocene dispersal of fossil zokor (Rodentia: Spalacidae) from Mongolian Plateau to the hinterland of Tibetan Plateau. *PLoS ONE* 10, e0144993 (2015).
- Li, Q., Stidham, T. A., Ni, X. & Li, L. Two new Pliocene hamsters (Cricetidae, Rodentia) from southwestern Tibet (China), and their implications for rodent dispersal 'into Tibet'. *J. Vertebr. Paleontol.* 37, e1403443 (2018).
- 43. Mulch, A. & Chamberlain, C. P. The rise and growth of Tibet. *Nature* **439**, 670–671 (2006).
- 44. Su, T. et al. Uplift, climate and biotic changes at the Eocene-Oligocene transition in Southeast Tibet. *Natl Sci. Rev.* **6**, 495–504 (2018).
- Guo, Z. et al. Onset of Asian desertification by 22 Myr ago inferred from loess deposits in China. *Nature* 416, 159–163 (2002).
- Sun, J. et al. Late Oligocene–Miocene mid-latitude aridification and wind patterns in the Asian interior. *Geology* 38, 515–518 (2010).
- Miao, Y., Herrmann, M., Wu, F., Yan, X. & Yang, S. What controlled Mid-Late Miocene long-term aridification in Central Asia? — Global cooling or Tibetan Plateau uplift: a review. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 112, 155–172 (2012).
- Wu, S. D. et al. Evolution of Asian interior arid-zone biota: evidence from the diversification of Asian *Zygophyllum* (Zygophyllaceae). *PLoS ONE* 10, e0138697 (2015).
- Qiu, Z. & Li, C. Evolution of Chinese mammalian faunal regions and elevation of the Qinghai-Xizang (Tibet) Plateau. *Sci. China Ser. D.* 48, 1246–1258 (2005).
- Sun, X. & Wang, P. How old is the Asian monsoon system?—Palaeobotanical records from China. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeocl.* 222, 181–222 (2005).
- Li, Y. et al. Mammalian evolution in Asia linked to climate changes. in Late Cenozoic Climate Change in Asia: Loess, Monsoon and Monsoon-arid Environment Evolution (ed. An, Z.) 435–490 (Springer, 2014).
- 52. Scotese, C. R. & Wright, N. PALEOMAP Paleodigital Elevation Models (PaleoDEMS) for the Phanerozoic. (2018). Retrieved from https://www.earthbyte.org/paleodem-resource-scotese-and-wright-2018/. (accessed in June 22, 2019).
- Lanier, H. C. & Olson, L. E. Inferring divergence times within pikas (Ochotona spp.) using mtDNA and relaxed molecular dating techniques. *Mol. Phylogenetics Evol.* 53, 1–12 (2009).
- 54. Chan, Y. C. et al. Mitochondrial genome sequences effectively reveal the phylogeny of *Hylobates* gibbons. *PLoS ONE* **5**, e14419 (2010).
- Mercer, J. M. & Roth, V. L. The effects of Cenozoic global change on squirrel phylogeny. *Science* 299, 1568–1572 (2003).
- Xing, Y. & Ree, R. H. Uplift-driven diversification in the Hengduan Mountains, a temperate biodiversity hotspot. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 114, 3444–3451 (2017).
- 57. He, D. & Chen, Y. Molecular phylogeny and biogeography of the highly specialized grade schizothoracine fishes (Teleostei: Cyprinidae) inferred from cytochrome *b* sequences. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* **52**, 777–788 (2007).
- Lei, F., Qu, Y. & Song, G. Species diversification and phylogeographical patterns of birds in response to the uplift of the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau and Quaternary glaciations. *Curr. Zool.* **60**, 149–161 (2014).

- Svenning, J.-C., Eiserhardt, W. L., Normand, S., Ordonez, A. & Sandel, B. The influence of paleoclimate on present-day patterns in biodiversity and ecosystems. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol.* S 46, 551–572 (2015).
- He, J., Kreft, H., Gao, E., Wang, Z. & Jiang, H. Patterns and drivers of zoogeographical regions of terrestrial vertebrates in China. J. Biogeogr. 44, 1172–1184 (2017).
- Rolland, J. et al. The impact of endothermy on the climatic niche evolution and the distribution of vertebrate diversity. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 2, 459–464 (2018).
- 62. Saladin, B. et al. Environment and evolutionary history shape phylogenetic turnover in European tetrapods. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 249 (2019).
- 63. Marshall, C. R. Five palaeobiological laws needed to understand the evolution of the living biota. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1, 165 (2017).
- Hunt, G. & Slater, G. Integrating paleontological and phylogenetic approaches to macroevolution. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. S* 47, 189–213 (2016).
- Matzke, N. J. Probabilistic historical biogeography: new models for founderevent speciation, imperfect detection, and fossils allow improved accuracy and model-testing. *Front. Biogeogr.* 5, 242–248 (2013).
- Roll, U. et al. The global distribution of tetrapods reveals a need for targeted reptile conservation. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1, 1677–1682 (2017).
- Upham, N. S., Esselstyn, J. A. & Jetz, W. Inferring the mammal tree: specieslevel sets of phylogenies for questions in ecology, evolution, and conservation. *PLoS Biol.* 17, e3000494 (2019).
- Jetz, W. et al. Global distribution and conservation of evolutionary distinctness in birds. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 919–930 (2014).
- Tonini, J. F. R., Beard, K. H., Ferreira, R. B., Jetz, W. & Pyron, R. A. Fullysampled phylogenies of squamates reveal evolutionary patterns in threat status. *Biol. Conserv.* 204, 23–31 (2016).
- Jetz, W. & Pyron, R. A. The interplay of past diversification and evolutionary isolation with present imperilment across the amphibian tree of life. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 2, 850–858 (2018).
- Schliep, K. phangorn: phylogenetic analysis in R. *Bioinformatics* 27, 592–593 (2011).
- R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. https://www.Rproject.org/ (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2019).
- Blois, J. L. & Hadly, E. A. Mammalian response to Cenozoic climatic change. Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 37, 181–208 (2009).
- Kocsis, Á. T. & Raja, N. B. chronosphere: earth system history variables. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13161 (2019).
- Baselga, A. & Orme, C. D. L. betapart: an R package for the study of beta diversity. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 3, 808–812 (2012).
- 76. Dapporto, L. et al. recluster: an unbiased clustering procedure for betadiversity turnover. *Ecography* **36**, 1070–1075 (2013).
- Oksanen, J. et al. vegan: community ecology package. R package version 2.5-6. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan (2019).
- He, J., Lin, S., Li, J., Yu, J. & Jiang, H. Evolutionary history of zoogeographical regions surrounding the Tibetan Plateau, Dryad, Dataset, https://doi.org/ 10.5061/dryad.5x69p8d10 (2020).

Acknowledgements

We thank X. Liu for valuable discussions and comments that substantially improved this paper. This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 31900324) and Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (grant no. 2020A1515011472).

Author contributions

J.H. and H.J. designed the study. J.H., S.L. and J.Y. collected the data. J.H., S.L., J.Y. and H.J. performed the analyses. J.H., J.L. and H.J. drafted the paper. All authors contributed to the final version of the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-020-01154-2.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.J.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020